

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/047,728	BUSSEAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Renata McCloud	2837

All Participants:

(1) Renata McCloud.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Shawn Hunter.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner indicated that claim 21 is a method claim that depends from apparatus claim 17. Att. Hunter indicated that claim 21 should depend from claim 18 and agreed to correct this via examiner amendment

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)